Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: July 22, 2010

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEPR-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Joseph Cela

Project Manager – NWFR-PSB-2

Proposed Action: Demolition of a vacant house within the property boundary of Bonneville

Power Administration's (BPA) Ross Complex

PP&A Project No.: PP&A-1734

Budget Information: Work Order # 00254358

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.23 Demolition/

disposal of buildings.

Location: Ross Complex in Clark County, Washington

Proposed by: BPA

Description of the Proposed Action:

BPA proposes to demolish a house that it owns located in Clark County, Washington. The house is a part of the Ross Complex, yet outside the Ross Complex fenceline. The abandoned house is a liability to BPA as it is in poor condition and in need of costly renovations. Allowing the house to remain abandoned creates a risk of illegal residence. The house exists on a .54 acre lot in a suburban neighborhood. A thorough environmental review was completed on the property to ensure any substances considered a hazard to the environment would be safely removed as a part of the demolition. All work will be conducted on BPA property.

Findings:

BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, April 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R 1508.25(a)(1)] to other action with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impact [40 C.F.R. 1508(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act - excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in

the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted release, or (iv) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources. The proposal will not affect any listed threatened or endangered species, or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act, or Essential Fish Habitat under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Chad Browning
Chad Browning
Environmental Scientist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce DATE: July 22, 2010

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Decommissioning of House on Ross Complex Property		
Work Order #: 00254358	PP&A Project No.:	PP&A-1734
Prepared by: Chad Browning F	Routing: KEPR-4	Date: 7/14/10
This project has been found to <u>not</u> adversely affect the following environmentally sensitive resources, laws, and regulations:		
Environmental Resources	No Effect	No Effect with conditions
1. Cultural Resources*	X	
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	X	
4. Areas of special designation	X	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime agricultural lands	X	
7. Special sources of water	x	
8. Consistency with state and local laws and reg	gulations x	
9. Pollution control at Federal facilities	X	
10. Other	X	
List supporting documentation attached (if needed):		

^{*} A completed evaluation by Applied Archaeological Research Inc. with concurrence from the Washington SHPO determined the house was not eligible for consideration to the National Register of Historic Places.