PMC-EF2n

(2.04/02)

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: City of Chicago, IL

STATE: IL

PROJECT

TITLE:

City of Chicago EECBG SOW for RLF and Retrofits

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0000013

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0000815.001

NEPA Control Number CID Number

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

- B5.1 Actions to conserve energy, demonstrate potential energy conservation, and promote energy-efficiency that do not increase the indoor concentrations of potentially harmful substances. These actions may involve financial and technical assistance to individuals (such as builders, owners, consultants, designers), organizations (such as utilities), and state and local governments. Covered actions include, but are not limited to: programmed lowering of thermostat settings, placement of timers on hot water heaters, installation of solar hot water systems, installation of efficient lighting, improvements in generator efficiency and appliance efficiency ratings, development of energy-efficient manufacturing or industrial practices, and small-scale conservation and renewable energy research and development and pilot projects. The actions could involve building renovations or new structures in commercial, residential, agricultural, or industrial sectors. These actions do not include rulemakings, standard-settings, or proposed DOE legislation.
- A9 Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, audits), data analysis (including computer modeling), document preparation (such as conceptual design or feasibility studies, analytical energy supply and demand studies), and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document mailings, publication, and distribution; and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring.
- A11 Technical advice and planning assistance to international, national, state, and local organizations.

## Rational for determination:

The following project activities are bounded by the City's signed Statement of Work (SOW) and are therefore categorically excluded under NEPA:

- Revolving Loan Fund
- Building Strategy Implementation Support Part 2
- City Hall Cooling Retrofit

Any projects submitted for EECBG funding that fall outside the bounds of the County's signed SOW will require a separate NEPA determination review.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

Insert the following language in the award:

You are required to:

While EECBG funds for the Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) project will be provided to an existing RLF managed by the Community Investment Corporation, EECBG funds shall only be used to fund energy efficiency improvements that fall within the bounds of the City's signed SOW.

Note to Specialist:

None Given.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer    NEPA Compliance Officer	<	0.77	4/9/10
Field Office Manager review required  NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:    Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.    Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.    BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:    Field Office Manager's Signature:	NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	NEPA Compliance Officer	Date:
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:    Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.    Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.    BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:   Field Office Manager's Signature:   Date:	FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	ATION	
□ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention. □ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.  BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Field Office Manager's Signature:  □ Date: □ D	☐ Field Office Manager review required		
□ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention. □ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.  BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Field Office Manager's Signature:  □ Date: □ D	NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE M	IANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLO	OWING REASON:
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Field Office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Field Office Manager  Field Office Manager	Manager's attention	S category and therefore requires Field Off	
Field Office Manager  That do built a present state of the state of th	BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE	NCO:
		Field Office Manager	Date: