

41 4. Ashley Sanderson

- 1 5. Tessa Jo Mascareñas
- 2 6. Alyssa Schreiber

4 NNMCAB Member Absences

- Angel Quintana
 - 2. Joseph Viarrial

| 7 | | |
|---|---------------|-------|
| 8 | NNMCAB | Staff |

3

5

6

- 9 1. Menice Santistevan, Executive Director
- 10 2. Bridget Maestas, Administrative Assistant
- 11 3. William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach

| 12 | |
|----|--------|
| 13 | Guests |

| 14 | 1 | Scott Kovac, Nuke Watch Ne | w Mexico |
|-----|----|----------------------------|-----------|
| T-1 | ±. | Scott Rovac, Nuke Water Ne | W WICKICO |

- 15 2. Kaitlin Martinez, Los Alamos National Security
- 16 3. Brian Clayton, Los Alamos National Security
- 17 4. Steven Horak, Project Time and Cost, LLC.
- 18 5. Andrea Romero, Regional Coalition of LANL Communities
- 19 6. Danny Katzman, Los Alamos National Security
- 20 7. Tim Goering, Los Alamos National Security
- 21 8. William Travis Burkett, Los Alamos National Security, Visible Team
- 22 9. Kelly Michel, Los Alamos National Security, Visible Team

- 23 *All NNMCAB meetings are recorded. Audio CD's have been placed on file for review at the NNMCAB
- office, 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. The written minutes are intended as a
- 25 synopsis of the meeting.

| 1 | Minutes | |
|----------|---------|---|
| 2 | Ι. | Call to Order |
| 3 | | The monthly meeting of the Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board (NNMCAB) |
| 4 | | Waste Management (WM) and Environmental Monitoring & Remediation (EM&R) |
| 5 | | Committees was held on July 8, 2015 at the NNMCAB Office in Pojoaque, New Mexico. |
| 6 | | Mr. Lee Bishop, Co-DDFO stated that on behalf of the Department of Energy (DOE) the |
| 7 | | meeting of the NNMCAB was called to order at 2:01 p.m. Mr. Bishop recognized Mr. Manuel |
| 8 | | Pacheco, WM Committee Chair, Mr. Pacheco presided at the meeting. |
| 9 | | The meeting of the NNMCAB was open to the public and posted in The Federal Register |
| 10 | | in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. |
| 11 12 | II. | Establishment of a Quorum (11 Needed) |
| 13 | | a. Roll Call |
| 14 | | Mr. William Alexander conducted roll call as the members arrived. At the call |
| 15 | | to order 11 members were present. Mr. Puglisi arrived at 2:20 p.m. and Mr. |
| 16 | | Martinez arrived at 2:25 p.m. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | b. Excused Absences |
| 19 | | Mr. Alexander recorded that the following members had an excused absence: |
| 20 | | Ms. Mary Friday, Ms. Tessa Jo Mascareñas, Mr. Michael Valerio, Dr. Nona Girardi, |
| 21 | | and Ms. Ashley Sanderson. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | c. Absences |
| 24 | | Mr. Alexander recorded that the following members were absent: Mr. Joseph |
| 25 | | Viarrial and Ms. Angel Quintana. |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | Ш. | Approval of Agenda |
| 28 | | The Combined Committee (CC) reviewed the Agenda for the July 8, 2015 meeting. Mr. |
| 29 | | Pacheco opened the floor for comments. |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | | Mr. Sayre made a motion to approve the agenda as presented; Mr. Schmelling seconded |
| 32 | | the motion. The motion to approve the agenda as presented passed. |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | IV. | Approval of Minutes |
| 35 | | Mr. Pacheco opened the floor for discussion on the minutes from the May 13, 2015 CC |
| 36 | | meeting. |
| 37 | | |
| 38 | | Mr. Whiting made a motion to approve the minutes as presented; Mr. Tiano seconded |
| 39 | | the motion. The members voted all in favor, the motion to approve the minutes as |
| 40 | | presented passed. |
| 41 42 | V. | Introductions |
| 43 | - • | Mr. Pacheco asked for introductions from the board members and all attending guests. |
| 44 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | VI. | Old Business |
|------------|------|--|
| 2 | | Mr. Pacheco opened the floor for discussion on old business. |
| 3 | | NAL CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR OF |
| 4 5 | | Mr. Sayre stated that a draft recommendation for possible consideration at the EM SSAB Meeting in September would be sent out to the members for review in the next few days. |
| 6 | | Additionally, he noted that the recommendation was in regards to Supplemental |
| 7 | | Environmental Projects. |
| 8 | | Mr. Sayre stated that the date for the upcoming NNMCAB Board meeting was July 29, |
| 9 | | 2015, at the Sagebrush Conference Center in Taos. He also noted that a possible tour of TA- |
| 10 | | 16 was being considered. |
| 11 12 | | With no additional old business to discuss Mr. Dashees moved on to the next aganda |
| 12 13 | | With no additional old business to discuss, Mr. Pacheco moved on to the next agenda item. |
| 13 14 - | | |
| 15 | VII. | New Business |
| 16 | | a. Public Comment Chromium Plume |
| 17 | | Mr. Pacheco asked Mr. Bishop or Mr. Rhodes if they would provide information on |
| 18 | | this topic. |
| 19 20 | | Mr. Rhodes stated that DOE was required to announce that it was going to be |
| 20 | | publishing an Environmental Assessment (EA). He noted that DOE has submitted that |
| 22 | | notification and at this time it is not DOE's intent to have a public meeting to discuss the |
| 23 | | Chromium EA. Additionally, he stated that DOE has submitted the work plan to the New |
| 24 | | Mexico Environment Department (NMED) for review and approval. Mr. Rhodes stated |
| 25 | | that he did not have the specific date that the EA would be published. |
| 26 27 | | Mr. Schmolling asked if the 20 day comment period would start immediately after the |
| 27 28 | | Mr. Schmelling asked if the 30 day comment period would start immediately after the EA was published. |
| 29 | | LA was published. |
| 30 | | Mr. Rhodes stated that yes the 30 day period would start after the publication. |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | | b. Restaurant Recommendation Guide |
| 33 | | Mr. Pacheco stated that the members would be providing information on their |
| 34 35 | | favorite restaurants in Santa Fe. He noted that this information would be used to |
| 35 36 | | compile a list of restaurants, to provide information to the Advisory Board Members from across the DOE complex that would be in New Mexico this September for the EM |
| 37 | | SSAB meeting. |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | | c. TA-16 Tour in lieu of August Committee Meeting |
| 40 | | Mr. Pacheco stated that in the Executive Committee meeting it had been suggested |
| 41 | | that the NNMCAB have a Tour of TA-16 in lieu of the August Committee Meeting. |
| 42 42 | | Mr. Dichon noted that the committee would be beering a briefing today on the Devel |
| 43 44 | | Mr. Bishop noted that the committee would be hearing a briefing today on the Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX) plume at TA-16. He stated that the Tour of TA-16 would give |
| 44 45 | | the members additional information on the RDX plume and ongoing efforts to |
| 46 | | characterize and remediate the plume. |
| 47 | | |
| | | |

| 1 2 | | The members voted in favor of having a Tour of TA-16 in lieu of an August 12, 2015 CC meeting. |
|----------|-------|--|
| 3 4 | VIII. | Update from DDFO |
| 5 | • | Due to time constraints Mr. Bishop stated that he would keep the DDFO update short. |
| 6 | | He noted that at the July 29, 2015 meeting DOE is hoping to announce the new Manager for |
| 7 | | the EM-LA Field Office. |
| 8 9 | IX. | Presentations |
| 10 | | a. LANL Visible Team |
| 11 | | Ms. Kelly Michel, Los Alamos National Security, Director LANL Visible Team, presented |
| 12 | | on "Virtual Simulation at Los Alamos National Laboratory." She noted that the purpose |
| 13 | | of the LANL Visible team is to leverage the technology growth from the commercial |
| 14 | | realm and movies for use in LANL modeling. Ms. Michel gave an overview of what the |
| 15 | | team has done to create virtual reality simulations for: training, modeling, virtual tours, |
| 16 17 | | and site interactions. She noted that the idea is to leverage natural human responses |
| 17 | | and integrate the visual learning process. |
| 19 | | b. Questions |
| 20 | | Mr. Schmelling asked if photographs are used to generate the virtual space. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | Ms. Michel responded that yes the compositors are artist trained, and that they do |
| 23 | | use photographs to produce the virtual images. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | Ms. Gurulé asked what the production time is on one of these types of virtual |
| 26 | | simulations. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | Ms. Michel responded that it can be accomplished in as little as six weeks. |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | c. TA-16 RDX |
| 31 | | Mr. Tim Goering, Los Alamos National Security, gave a presentation entitled "RDX in |
| 32 | | Groundwater Overview." An electronic copy of the presentation may be obtained from |
| 33 | | the NNMCAB website; <u>http://www.nnmcab.energy.gov/7-</u> |
| 34 25 | | presentations/presentations.htm. |
| 35 | | d Questions |
| 36 37 | | Questions Mr. Schmelling asked if the RDX is in the regional aquifer. |
| 38 | | MI. Schmennig asked if the KDX is in the regional aquiter. |
| 39 | | Mr. Goering stated that there are a couple of wells that are showing levels of RDX in |
| 40 | | the regional aquifer. |
| 40 | | |
| 42 | | Mr. Schmelling asked if the contamination pathway was simpler or the same |
| 43 | | complexity as the chromium. |
| 44 | | |
| 45 | | Mr. Katzman responded that the RDX is very close to where the contamination |
| 46 | | occurred and there has been little outward migration. |
| 47 | | - |
| | | |

| 1 | Mr. Martinez asked what the risk based level is. |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Mr. Goering responded that the level for RDX is 7 parts per billion. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Mr. Martinez asked what the Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB) could handle before it |
| 6 | would need to be replaced. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Mr. Goering responded that the 2011 Las Conchas fire had damaged the flow |
| 9 | dynamics and caused extensive damage to the PRB. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Ms. Gurulé asked if springs were showing contamination. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Mr. Goering responded that the springs were showing some contamination. |
| 13 14 | Additionally, noting that one of the springs has dried up over the last 4 years and is no |
| | |
| 15 | longer flowing and the other springs have very low flow rates. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Ms. Gurulé asked what the effect of the contamination is on fish and wildlife. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Mr. Katzman responded that all of the water sheds have been investigated from an |
| 20 | ecological stand point. He stated that for the Water Canyon report the ecology had been |
| 21 | assessed and completed and no adverse effects had been found. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Mr. Puglisi asked if the springs had dried out due to the outfall being shut down. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Mr. Goering responded that the swish spring had dried out and that it was a possibility |
| 26 | that was due to the new zero discharge outfall policy. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | Ms. Gurulé asked if R-18 and R-16 were ground water production wells. |
| 29 | |
| 30 | Mr. Goering responded that they are in the regional aquifer; however they are not |
| 31 | water production wells. |
| 32 | |
| 33 | Mr. Valdez asked if NMED had provided any recommendations on the RDX plume. |
| 33 34 | with valuez asked in wither had provided any recommendations on the NDX plane. |
| 34 35 | Mr. Cooring stated that in their Nation of Disapproval for the 2007 Corrective |
| | Mr. Goering stated that in their Notice of Disapproval for the 2007 Corrective |
| 36 | Measures Evaluation, NMED had stated that more information was needed on the |
| 37 | conceptual model. Additionally, NMED requested a Supplemental Investigation Work |
| 38 | plan. |
| 39 | |
| 40 | Mr. Schmelling asked what the long term objective was, containment or cleanup. |
| 41 | |
| 42 | Mr. Goering responded that it is technically unfeasible to clean it up completely. He |
| 43 | noted that the lab is working to protect the regional aquifer. |
| 44 | |
| 45 | Mr. Katzman stated that the Lab with the assistance of NMED is trying to figure out |
| 46 | what the long term solution should be. |
| 47 | |
| | |

| Mr. Pacheco asked when it becomes technically infeasible. Mr. Goering responded when it is no longer a cost effective use of resources, or when the amount of contaminant removed with the tools available do not provide the ability to clean up the contaminant. Mr. Whiting asked if the contaminated springs are completely fenced off, or if wildlife can access them. |
|--|
| the amount of contaminant removed with the tools available do not provide the ability to clean up the contaminant. Mr. Whiting asked if the contaminated springs are completely fenced off, or if wildlife can access them. |
| the amount of contaminant removed with the tools available do not provide the ability to clean up the contaminant. Mr. Whiting asked if the contaminated springs are completely fenced off, or if wildlife can access them. |
| to clean up the contaminant. Mr. Whiting asked if the contaminated springs are completely fenced off, or if wildlife can access them. |
| Mr. Whiting asked if the contaminated springs are completely fenced off, or if wildlife can access them. |
| can access them. |
| can access them. |
| |
| |
| Mr. Katzman responded that the springs are not fenced in and that the wildlife can |
| access them. |
| |
| Public Comment Period |
| Mr. Pacheco opened the floor for public comment at 3:47 p.m.; he asked Mr. Scott |
| Kovac of Nuke Watch New Mexico if he would like to address the board. |
| |
| Mr. Kovac thanked the board for the opportunity to speak. He stated that he was happy |
| about the new EM-LA field office being set up. He noted that he would like to remind the NNMCAB that EM-LA is here to provide oversight of the LANS contractor. He noted that he |
| believed that it was a different budget and that the NNMCAB should consider in its budget |
| recommendation a request for more funding, it should also request the appropriate amount |
| of staff for the new office. Mr. Kovac noted that now is the time since it's a new operation, |
| since it is easy to lose the staff later. We need to make sure that EM-LA has all the staff it |
| needs to complete the job. |
| Mr. Kovac asked if it was possible for members of the public to attend the TA-16 RDX |
| tour. |
| |
| Mr. Bishop responded that the NNMCAB tours are open to the public to the extent |
| possible. |
| |
| With no additional public comment, Mr. Pacheco closed the public comment period at |
| 3:50 p.m. |
| Adjournment |
| Mr. Pacheco noted that the next NNMCAB CC Meeting would be held on October 14, |
| 2015 at the NNMCAB office. |
| |
| With no additional business to discuss, Mr. Pacheco adjourned the meeting at 4:20 p.m. |
| With no additional business to discuss, Mr. Pacheco adjourned the meeting at 4:20 p.m Ily Submitted, |
| |

- 13. Los Alamos National Laboratory Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Draft Community Relations2Plan Comment Suggestion Form
- 3 4. Presentation by Tim Goering, LANL, "RDX in Groundwater Overview"
- 4 *All NNMCAB meetings are recorded. Audio CD's have been placed on file for review at the NNMCAB
- 5 office, 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. The written minutes are intended as a
- 6 synopsis of the meeting.