

Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board Meeting January 28, 2009 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Holiday Inn Santa Fe 4048 Cerrillos Road Santa Fe, New Mexico **MINUTES** 

### 8 9

10

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

28

29

32

35

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

#### In Attendance: 11

12 13 **NNMCAB** Members-

- 1. J.D Campbell, NNMCAB Chair
- 2. Ralph Phelps, NNMCAB Vice Chair
- 3. Gerry Maestas, WM Committee Chair
- 17 4. Peter Baston
  - 5. Donald Dayton
  - 6. Robert Gallegos
  - 7. Jane Gaziano
  - 8. Kathleen Hall
  - 9. George Heindel
  - 10. Kyo Kim
- 11. Ken LaGattuta 24
- 25 12. Lawrence Longacre
- 26 13. Caroline Mason 27
  - 14. Patricia Medvick
  - 15. Robert Villarreal

#### 30 **Excused Absences-**31

- 1. Mike Loya, EMSR Committee Chair
  - 2. Pam Henline, EMSR Committee Vice Chair
- 33 34 Absent-
  - 1. Joseph Mark Chavarria
  - 2. Karen Torres
- 36 37
- 38 Also in Attendance-
- 39 Jeffrey Casalina, Deputy Designated Federal Official (DDFO) 40
- 41 NNMCAB Staff-
- 42 Menice Santistevan, Executive Director
- Lorelei Novak, Technical Programs and Outreach 43
- 44 Grace Roybal, Office Administrator
- 45 Edward Roybal, Sound Technician

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1. Pa 2. Ne 3. Lo 4. Na 5. Da 6. Le 7. To 8. Ed 9. Da	Attendance- ul Huber, LANS sil Weber, San Ildefonso Pueblo rrie Bonds-Lopez, LANS ncy Werdel, LASO nny Katzman, LANL e Bishop, LASO dd Huaganstad, LANL ward Mignardot, DOE nny Peden, Public rle Dixon, NMED
16 17		MEETING AGENDA:
18 19	Ι.	Call to Order- Jeffrey Casalina, DDFO.
20	II.	Establishment of Quorum- (10 needed)
21		a. Roll Call- 15 members
22		b. Excused Absence- Pam Henline, Mike Loya
23	III.	Welcome and Introductions.
24	IV.	Approval of Agenda.
25	۷.	Approval of Minutes of November 19, 2008.
26	VI.	Old Business.
27		a. Written Reports
28		1. Chair
29		2. Executive Director
30		3. Committee Reports
31		b. Open Discussion from Board Members
32		c. Appoint Ad Hoc Committee on FY'11 DOE EM Budget
33		d. Appoint Ad Hoc Committee on Public Outreach
34	VII.	New Business.
35		a. Top Three Issues and NNMCAB Accomplishment for SSAB Chairs
36		Meeting
37		b. Consideration and Action on SSAB Chairs Letter
38	VIII.	Recommendations
39		a. Introduction of Recommendation 2009-01
40		b. Discussion of Recommendation 2009-02
41	IX.	Update on Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Trustee Council
42		Progress, Nancy Werdel

Χ.	LASO Presentation on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations, Jeff Casalina
XI.	Presentation on Well Network Analysis and Characterization of
	Groundwater at Site, Danny Katzman
	a. Identification of Planned New Wells with Approximate Schedule
	for Drilling b. Data Quality Objectives for Wells (presented in tables)
XII.	Adjournment- Jeffrey Casalina, DDFO.
	MEETING MINUTES:
I. C	all to Order.
	he regular bi-monthly meeting of the Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board
	B or CAB) meeting was held on January 28, 2009 at the Holiday Inn, Santa Fe, New
	The Chair, Dr. J. D. Campbell presided. Mr. Jeffrey Casalina, Deputy Designated
	Officer (DDFO) stated that on behalf of the Department of Energy (DOE), the regula
	hly meeting of the NNMCAB was called to order at 2:00 p.m. The regular meeting of
	ICAB was open to the public and posted in <i>The Federal Register</i> in accordance with
ine red	deral Advisory Committee Act.
II. E	stablishment of Quorum.
	I- 15 members present.
	ls. Lorelei Novak conducted roll call and announced a quorum with 15 members
	at the meeting. Mr. Casalina had previously approved excused absences for Ms. Pa
	and Mr. Mike Loya.
III. V	Velcome and Introductions.
	r. Campbell opened the meeting with remarks. He stated that members would be
	zed in order when making comments or asking questions. He asked the members to
use the	microphones when speaking. Dr. Campbell welcomed new member, Mr. Robert
Villarrea	al to his fist CAB meeting. Mr. Villarreal introduced himself to the Board. He retire
after 45	years with the DOE where he worked primarily on helping to get the Transuranic
•	TRU) out of the Los Alamos site. Dr. Campbell also introduced Mr. Lee Bishop,
	SO, who sat in for Mr. George Rael, DOE/LASO. Mr. Bishop described his background
	He came from the Yucca Mountain Project where he worked with High Level Waste
	Dr. Campbell asked Ms. Lorrie Bonds-Lopez to introduce herself to the group. She
stated s	
	he works for Environmental Programs at LANL where she works for the LANL mental Outreach Program.

41 Dr. Campbell discussed some recent accomplishments of the CAB. He announced the 42 successful in-house production and distribution of the Boards' semi-annual newsletter. He

stated the CAB's website was up to date, the Board was running smoothly utilizing modern 1 practices and organization to which he thanked the ATA Staff members. Additionally, the 2 Boards' recent annual Internal Evaluation was submitted on time last December to the DOE. 3 4 He announced the Board meetings would now be held at the Holiday Inn Santa Fe. Travel 5 reimbursement would be provided to the membership and he asked the group to submit Mileage Reimbursement Forms to Mrs. Roybal. 6 7 Dr. Campbell informed the Board that this was his last year as Chair of the Board. This year, during the transition period, he reminded folks to step forward and consider running for 8 the Chair's position. 9 10 IV. Approval of Agenda. 11 12 The Board reviewed the agenda. The following changes or announcements regarding 13 the agenda were made: 14 > Notation for minutes: written reports were included in the mailed meeting 15 packets. To save time, the reports were not being given verbally; specific 16 questions could be brought up in new business. Board Approval of SSAB Chairs Letter to EM1. 17 18 19 Action: Dr. Campbell asked for a motion to approve the agenda, as amended. Mr. Ralph Phelps made a motion to approve the January 28, 2009 meeting agenda, as amended. Ms. 20 Kathleen Hall seconded the motion. The amended agenda was approved as amended. 21 22 V. Review of Minutes from November 19, 2008. 23 The Board reviewed the minutes from the November 19, 2008 CAB meeting. By 24 previous instruction from DOE Headquarters, the minutes were reviewed and certified by the 25 NNMCAB Chair. The copy of the minutes placed before the Board for approval had been 26 27 previously amended by the request of Mr. Ken LaGattuta who asked that the second 28 paragraph of his statement, noted in the attachments section, be removed. Also, Mr. Gerry 29 Maestas had asked to have a sentence included in his open discussion remarks. Ms. Novak 30 previously made both changes to the minutes. Ms. Jane Gaziano thanked Ms. Novak for 31 capturing all of Mr. James Bearzi's comments from the last CAB meeting. 32 33 Action: Dr. Campbell asked for a motion to approve the minutes as amended. Mr. Phelps 34 made a motion to approve the November 19, 2008 Minutes. Mr. Robert Gallegos seconded the motion. The certified minutes were approved as presented. 35 36 37 VI. Old Business. 38 39 a. Written reports from the Chair, Executive Director of the Board, 40 and the EMSR and WM Committee Chairs were submitted. The 41 reports were included as paper copies in the Board meeting 42 packets. 43 44

## 1 – Report from Chair, J.D. Campbell:

View Chair's written report online

A printed copy of Dr. Campbell's report can be requested from the CAB office at (505) 989-1662 or <u>via email</u>. Dr. Campbell reviewed his written report for the Board and answered questions from the Board members. There was no additional discussion of the Chair's report.

7 8

9

14

26

30

33

2

#### – Report from Executive Director, Menice Santistevan:

#### View Executive Director's written report online

A printed copy of Ms. Santistevan's report can be requested from the CAB office at (505) 989-1662 or <u>via email</u>. Ms. Santistevan briefly highlighted the major points of her report for the Board. She announced the next Board meeting was scheduled for March 25, 2009 at the Holiday Inn, Santa Fe.

15 Discussion regarding Executive Director's Report:

Mr. Gallegos wanted to thank Mr. Don Dayton for his service on the Board. He was sorry to hear Mr. Dayton was leaving the Board. Mr. Dayton stated his regrets but he was currently involved in a number of activities and could not give the Board enough time. He thanked Mr. Gallegos for agreeing to submit his re-appointment application.

Ms. Hall asked about Board reappointments. Ms. Santistevan stated there are five expiring first term appointments, four have been submitted for another two-year appointment and Mr. Dayton has not requested reappointment. Mr. Casalina signed off on a detailed reappointment package, which would now be sent to the DOE for approval.

25 – Waste Management Committee Report:

# View WM Committee Chair's report online

Mr. Maestas, WM Committee Chair, acted as the reporting member for the WM Committee. A printed copy of Mr. Maestas report can be requested from the CAB office at (505) 989-1662 or <u>via email</u>.

# - Environmental Monitoring, Surveillance and Remediation Committee Report.

View EMSR Committee Chair's written report online

Mr. Loya has an excused absence. His written report was included in the packet. A printed copy of Mr. Loya' s report can be requested from the CAB office at (505) 989-1662 or via email.

- b. Open Discussion from Board Members
- The Board held an open discussion. A summary of the discussion has been noted by topic below:
- 41

37

38

### 42 <u>Topic: Board Emails and Blogs-</u>

43 Ms. Gaziano brought up the subject of excessive emailing. She said it was she who had 44 made the complaint about receiving so many emails from Board members, which she thought

was excessive use of the "reply to all" button. Dr. Campbell discussed the administrative 1 procedure on email reminded everyone to read the procedure. Mr. LaGattuta thought some 2 of the email traffic was due to the discussion of draft recommendations. Ms Mason agreed 3 4 there are too many emails and she thought they needed to be shortened considerably. Dr. Campbell asked if the blogs are of use to the members. Mr. George Heindel replied that the 5 blogs are usable but not as convenient to use as email. Mr. Peter Baston stated he was one of 6 7 the more guilty regarding emailing a lot and agreed that there was more than one-way to 8 communicate, such as the Board's blogs and the telephone. The Board could look at the 9 communication systems and suggest ways of keeping communications succinct.

10 11

#### Topic: Site Specific Advisory Board (SSAB) Chairs Information- "Energy Parks"

12 Mr. Ralph Phelps brought up a topic discussed during the last SSAB chairs conference call regarding a revitalization-funding package at DOE. An exciting project for DOE, he 13 14 explained these "energy parks" use the footprint of already environmentally cleaned sites to 15 be used as sites to generate alternative energy such as wind. He thought that perhaps the CAB could help the DOE at the Los Alamos site. Dr. Campbell explained there could be a 16 stimulus grant for footprint reduction. Mr. Robert Villarreal stated that there were some 17 18 problems surrounding the reduction of footprint/energy parks idea. For example, he stated 19 problems could arise in deciding to turn this land over and to whom. Perhaps, he stated, 20 once transferred someone might dig a hole in an area that was active then the DOE might be 21 held liable. He thought the proposition might be viable at some sites and where there was no future digging involved. Dr. Campbell expected this to be a joint powers agreement where 22 ultimately the DOE would retain responsibility. Mr. LaGattuta thought the energy parks were 23 a good idea but to his knowledge, there was little clean up finished to the point of being 24 viable for consideration at Los Alamos. Mr. Phelps responded he knew of two possible sites at 25 Los Alamos, one is a garbage area near the town site, and the second is Technical Area 21 26 (TA-21). Mr. Baston thought there could be many opportunities but that the current 27 databases would not give one enough evidence. Mr. Heindel wanted to bring the idea of using 28 29 intended Risk Based End States (RBES) into the discussion.

30 31

32

#### c. Ad Hoc Committee on FY'11 DOE EM Budget

Dr. Campbell discussed Environmental Management (EM) budget status; he understood guidance would come from LASO and stated the CAB would like to see this guidance when available. Dr. Campbell asked about a response to the Board's letter to Mr. Donald Winchell, DOE/LASO dated March 19, 2009 regarding CAB input on the FY'10 budget. It was his understanding that Mr. George Rael, DOE/LASO, agreed to write a letter in reply to the CAB's letter. Mr. Lee Bishop, DOE, stated he would work with Mr. Casalina, DDFO, to look for that response.

Ms. Hall commented that the federal budget would operate on a continuing resolution through at least March 2009 and possibly through the fiscal year, which would mean they would focus their comments on a FY'11 budget.

43 Mr. Villarreal asked who was going to fund the analytical work for the hard sites like 44 Technical Area-49 (TA-49) and TA-53. He commented that these tough issues become an 45 important area when budgets are drafted. Dr. Campbell reviewed the Board's 46 recommendation function and he agreed that Mr. Villarreal's concern would be a strong part

- 1 of future CAB recommendation ideas. Dr. Campbell charged the Ad Hoc Committee to work
- towards a March 25 deadline for ideas regarding budget recommendations. Dr. Campbell
  agreed to work with the volunteers.
- 4 Dr. Campbell then asked for volunteers to serve on the Ad Hoc Committee for the 5 FY'11 Budget Review. The following members volunteered:
- 6 LEAD/CHAIR: Kathleen Hall
- 7 Peter Baston
- 8 Don Dayton
- 9 Robert Villarreal
- 10 11

#### d. Ad Hoc Committee on Public Outreach

- 12 Dr. Campbell called for volunteers to serve on an Ad Hoc Committee for Public 13 Outreach. Dr. Campbell asked the Ad Hoc Committee to consider drafting a new 2-Year 14 Public Participation Plan for the Board. The following members volunteered:
- 15 LEAD/CHAIR: Pete Baston
- 16 Lawrence Longacre
- 17 Don Dayton
- 18 Lorelei Novak as CAB staff support, included in emails and meetings
- 19 Gerry Maestas asked to be included in the email communications for the committee.
- 20 21
  - VII. New Business.
- 22 23 24
- a. Top Three Issues and NNMCAB Accomplishment for SSAB Chairs Meeting

The CAB should submit its Top Three Issues and One Accomplishment by February 27, 26 2009 for the next SSAB Chair's meeting. Dr. Campbell asked the Board to consider the last 27 three issues as a starting point and opened up the issues for modification and discussion. Mr. 28 Phelps recommended keeping the same top three issues. Several members worked on 29 finalizing the Board's "Top Three Issues and One Accomplishment" during the dinner break. 30 The group presented the following final draft Top Issues and Accomplishment for Board review 31 and approval: (See PowerPoint Slide on next page.)

-

NNMCAB
NNMCAB
Top Three Issues January 2009
<ul> <li>Issue #1: DOE to provide full funding for implementation of the EM/LANS certified Baseline, to meet the clean-up schedule of the New Mexico Order on Consent.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Issue #2: Continue installing new Groundwater Monitoring Wells using best practices with the objective of providing reliable measurement of Chemicals of Concern (COC).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Issue #3: Increase Transuranic (TRU) waste shipments to Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) – on critical path for Consent Order.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>NNMCAB Accomplishment: The NNMCAB's work in 2008, especially our recommendations, has been beneficial to the DOE per feedback from the Deputy Designated Federal Officer (DDFO) and the Assistant Manager for Environmental Operations, Mr. George Rael.</li> </ul>
Motion: Mr. George Heindel moved for approval of the issues and accomplishment as drafted. Mr. Baston seconded the motion. The Top Issues were approved for submission.
b. Consideration and Action on SSAB Chairs' Letter to the new EM1 Dr. Campbell asked the Board to approve a Site Specific Advisory Board Chairs letter written at the last semi-annual meeting in September 2008. The Chairs held the letter through the transition but now they are asking for approval from each of the Boards. Dr. Campbell called for a motion to approve this letter (with no changes) for his signature.
Motion: Mr. Baston moved to adopt letter as written. Mr. Dayton seconded the motion. The letter was unanimously approved for submission with Dr. Campbell's signature.
<ul> <li>VIII. Introduction and Action on Recommendations to the DOE. The Board took up the business of introducing and acting upon the following recommendations.</li> <li>a. Recommendation 2009-01 (Approved for Submission to DOE)</li> </ul>

b. Recommendation 2009-02 (Introduction Only)

- 2 3 4 a. Recommendation 2009-01 5 No. 2009-01 makes recommendations for improved description of data in the next Environmental Surveillance Report. The recommendation was drafted by the Environmental 6 7 Monitoring, Remediation and Surveillance Committee of the NNMCAB. 8 9 Comments and Observations made in the recommendation: 10 "Especially for the ESR, the NNMCAB believes that it is generally best to describe contaminant concentration data lying above established background, a federal or state standard or an 11 12 established screening level with the same linguistic precision as data falling below the same 13 standard. Failure to do so can be perceived by the public as an attempt by LANL to conceal 14 the significance of its own data. 15 Recommendation: 16 The NNMCAB recommends that DOE and LANL work to improve the uniformity of description in 17 the Environmental Surveillance Report for 2008. Description of data lying above established 18 background, a federal or state standard or an established screening level should occur with 19 the same linguistic precision as data falling below that standard. 20 Intent of the recommendation:  $\overline{21}$ The intent of this recommendation is to aid the DOE and LANL in their efforts to keep the 22 general public fully informed of the ongoing clean up." 23 (Complete text of the recommendation can be viewed on the CAB's website at 24 <u>www.nnmcab.org</u> or a paper copy can be requested from the CAB office at: 6505-989-1662,) 25 26 Mr. LaGattuta introduced the final draft of Recommendation 2009-01. He explained 27 the recommendation had been through many good edits, yet he believed the text was still 28 exact in its intent. The Board members discussed the recommendation. Ms. Hall commented 29 that the email reworking has been positive and she endorsed the draft. Mr. Gallegos questioned the use of specific measurements in the recommendation's wording. Mr. 30 LaGattuta agreed to strike line 17 where specific data measurements were mentioned. There 31 32 were no more objections stated to the draft as amended. 33 34 Vote: Motion: Mr. Baston made a motion to adopt NNMCAB Recommendation 2009-01. Ms. 35 Hall seconded the motion. 36 Recommendation 2009-01 was unanimously approved for submission to the DOE. 37 38 Action: Recommendation 2009-01 was approved for submission to the DOE. 39 40 b. Draft Recommendation 2009-02 Draft Recommendation 2009-02 was drafted by the Environmental Monitoring, 41 Remediation and Surveillance Committee of the NNMCAB. In summary, No. 2009-02 makes a 42 43 recommendation to verify and validate the Data Qualification Module and to expedite its inclusion in the Water Quality Data Base. The intent of this recommendation is to aid the DOE 44 45 and LANS in their efforts to keep the public fully informed about local water quality issues in order to have the most reliable data to use for analysis and evaluation of cleanup. The 46 recommendation was introduced at the meeting but no action was taken. A suggestion was 47
- made to write out all the acronyms used in the draft. Additional suggestions were made for 48

clarification and wording. The author, Mr. LaGattuta agreed to take the suggestions for edits
 back for reworking, with assistance from Ms. Henline.

Ms. Werdel wanted to clarify that the Water Quality Database WQD was no longer 3 4 available to the general public. To access the usefulness of the Data Quality Module (DQM) the CAB needed to be able to access it in a forum that was familiar, a forum that had a 5 complete Quality Assurance (QA) formulation such as the WQD, stated Mr. LaGattuta. Mr. 6 7 LaGattuta believed that access to that database should be restored to the public, even for a 8 limited amount of time, so that the CAB could make use of the WQD to assess the DQM. Dr. Campbell stated that the DQM was based in part on the Well Screen Analysis Report (WSAR) 9 and that was what the CAB has asked the EPA Kerr Laboratory to review. The CAB asked the 10 11 EPA Kerr Laboratory to evaluate what remaining uncertainties there were in the data from the WSAR. The Kerr Laboratory was scheduled to present to the CAB on April 8 with the Kerr 12 Laboratory report to be available slightly earlier for advance review. Dr. Campbell stated the 13 14 report may have some relevant insights with regards to draft Recommendation 2009-02.

Mr. Paul Huber, LANS, explained that the DQM was principally software that the Lab 15 used interactively in a tiered process to validate data. The data that was used in the module 16 has been through an independent Validation and Verification process as a first tier, and as a 17 18 second tier the data was reviewed by the 'data owners' who also reviewed the first tier 19 assessment. On some occasions, Mr. Huber explained, data went through a third level of verification, which was a focused look at certain sets of data. For example, the data that the 20 21 Lab had received from the wells that had been impacted by drilling fluids underwent this third validation step and were flagged accordingly for the data user. The DQM was a specific 22 23 automated software tool that had been devised by the Lab to help deal with this data.

Mr. Maestas asked why the WQD was removed from public access. Ms. Werdel stated the answer to Mr. Maestas question was twofold:

- 1. The WQD was taken offline to the general public because it was decided that all data was to be located in one place, which was RACER, and that was a New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) decision.
- 2. NMED made the decision that the qualifiers that are in the database, the qualifiers Mr. Huber discussed above, do not go in RACER because it was an analysis of data and not an official Lab qualifier.

# Action: Discussion served as introduction only, this recommendation would be placed before the Board for action at the March 25, 2009 CAB meeting.

35 36

37

38

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

## IX. Update on Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Trustee Council Progress

Ms. Nancy Werdel, Project Manager for Environmental Operations, DOE/LANL provided 39 40 the Board with a subject matter presentation, "Update on Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) and Trustee Council Progress," PowerPoint Presentation Identification 41 42 Number: LA-UR-09-0456. Ms. Werdel introduced Mr. Neil Weber who was from the Environment Department of San Ildefonso Pueblo and a member of the NRDA Trustee Council. 43 She also introduced Todd Huaganstad who works at LANS and a primary contact for the NRDA 44 Program. Ms. Werdel's presentation included a Natural Resources Damages Assessment 45 (NRDA) overview, a description of the NRDA Framework, an outline of the approach to NRDA 46

1	at LANL and the status of the site wide program at LANL. The presentation concluded with
2	the program plan path forward. An outline of the NRDA process explained in Ms. Werdel's
3	presentation can be found below:
4	1. Pre-assessment Screen (PAS).
5	<ol><li>Investigate if the criteria were met.</li></ol>
6	<ol><li>Conduct a preliminary estimate of damages.</li></ol>
7	4. Develop an assessment plan.
8	5. Conduct a full assessment.
9	6. Complete a report of assessment.
10	<ol><li>If appropriate, issue an award of damages.</li></ol>
11	A copy of Ms. Werdel's PowerPoint Presentation can be requested from the CAB
12	office. Ms. Werdel fielded questions from Board members.
13	More information on the CERCLA and the NRDA Process can be found at the following
14	locations:
15	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA)
16	NRDA Regulations, U.S. Department of the Interior, Natural Resource Damage Assessment
17	Regulations, 43 CFR PART 11 (1995), as amended at 61 Fed. Reg. 20609, May 7, 1996:
18	http://www.doi.gov/oepc/wp_docs/43cfr11.html
19	EPA's Notification and Coordination Activities, Natural Resource Damages Assessment:
20	http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/nrd/n_and_c.htm
21	Natural Resource Trustees: <u>http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/nrd/trustees.htm</u>
22	Natural Resource Damages: A Primer:
23	http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/nrd/primer.htm
24	
24 25 26	X. LASO Presentation on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB
25 26	•
25 26 27	Recommendations
25 26 27 28	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of
25 26 27 28 29	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart
25 26 27 28 29 30	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<b>Recommendations</b> Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations:
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations: o 2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	RecommendationsMr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation ofNNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chartand described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations.He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as newinformation became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newerrecommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for thefollowing recommendations:02008-07"Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"02008-09"Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations: <ul> <li>2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"</li> <li>2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"</li> <li>2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation</li> </ul>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	RecommendationsMr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation ofNNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chartand described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations.He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as newinformation became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newerrecommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for thefollowing recommendations:02008-07"Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"02008-09"Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"02008-10"Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation Report by DOE Office of Soil and Groundwater Remediation"
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations: <ul> <li>2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"</li> <li>2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"</li> <li>2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation</li> </ul>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<ul> <li>Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations.  He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations:  <ul> <li>2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"</li> <li>2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"</li> <li>2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation Report by DOE Office of Soil and Groundwater Remediation" <li>2008-11 "Reducing the Outfall into Sandia Canyon, Relating to Studies and Cleanup of Chromium"</li> </li></ul></li></ul>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<ul> <li>Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations: <ul> <li>2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"</li> <li>2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"</li> <li>2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation Report by DOE Office of Soil and Groundwater Remediation" <li>2008-11 "Reducing the Outfall into Sandia Canyon, Relating to Studies and Cleanup of Chromium" </li> </li></ul></li></ul>
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	RecommendationsMr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation ofNNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chartand described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations.He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as newinformation became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newerrecommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for thefollowing recommendations:• 2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"• 2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"• 2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation Report by DOE Office of Soil and Groundwater Remediation"• 2008-11 "Reducing the Outfall into Sandia Canyon, Relating to Studies and Cleanup of Chromium"Mr. Casalina's last point was the CAB has been very valuable to the DOE process. The actual DOE response will also be valuable but he considered the Board's actions in the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<ul> <li>Recommendations Mr. Casalina provided the Board with an update on DOE/LANL Implementation of NNMCAB Recommendations. He discussed the development of a Recommendation Flow Chart and described his process of getting action and responses to the Board's recommendations. He was still working on this project and would continue to update the Board as new information became available. Mr. Casalina stated that he was working on the newer recommendations first. He specifically mentioned currently working on the responses for the following recommendations: <ul> <li>2008-07 "Implement DOE P 455.1, "Use of Risk-Based End States"</li> <li>2008-09 "Regarding DOE/LANL Funding Priorities"</li> <li>2008-10 "Regarding Independent Review of the MDA-G Corrective Measures Evaluation Report by DOE Office of Soil and Groundwater Remediation" <li>2008-11 "Reducing the Outfall into Sandia Canyon, Relating to Studies and Cleanup of Chromium" </li> </li></ul></li></ul>

#### XI. Presentation on Well Network Analysis and Characterization of 1 Groundwater at Site 2

Mr. Danny Katzman, LANS, provided the Board with a comprehensive Subject Mater 3 Report on "Identification of Planned New Wells with Approximate Schedule for Drilling and 4 Data Quality Objectives for Wells." Mr. Katzman's report pulled together the major aspects 5 of the monitoring program including wells, data, models and objectives. His report covered 6 7 the project's background to monitoring goals for the future. Specifically, Mr. Katzman presentation for the Board covered: 8

- Groundwater Monitoring Objectives for Technical Area 54 (TA-54) including the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and sites under the Consent Order.
  - Principal, goals and methodology of the Monitoring Well Network.
  - > Monitoring into the future at LANL.

12 Mr. Katzman's complete PowerPoint Presentation can be requested from the CAB 13 office. Mr. Katzman fielded questions/comments from the Board. Mr. Maestas thought the 14 15 New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) was supportive of the program; however, they had issued a Notice of Deficiency (NOD) on the Corrective Measures Evaluation (CME) for Area 16 G. Ms. Hall stated the wells were monitoring many different things, was anything missing in 17 the analytical suite? Mr. Katzman replied that Lab referred to the Resource Conservation and 18 19 Recovery Act (RCRA) Appendix 9, where there was a listing of contaminants of all kinds to be 20 measured. Using RCRA as a guide, the Lab conducted comprehensive analytic suite testing. Mr. Heindel noted that the distance between monitoring wells was not exactly even, would 21 22 the wells cover cases of rapid lateral contaminate dispersion? How would the Lab measure something "in between"? 23

24

25

26

27 28

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

9

10

11

#### XII. Public Comment Period

No one signed up for public comment.

#### 29 XIII. Adjournment 30

- A summary of the meeting's action items and other announcements:
  - Approved Recommendation 2009-01 for submission to the DOE.
    - > Approved NNMCAB Top Three Issues.
    - Approved NNMCAB Major Accomplishment.
  - Approved SSAB Letter to EM-1.
  - Established Ad Hoc Committees for DOE/EM budget review and CAB Public Outreach Efforts.
    - Special Presentation from Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Kerr Laboratory to be held on April 8, 2009, from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. at the Holiday Inn in Santa Fe. For questions, please call the CAB at (505) 989-1662.
- Mr. Casalina, DDFO, adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.
- 42 43
- 44

1 Respectfully submitted, 2 3 Doupbell 4 J. D. Campbell, Ph.D., P. E., Chair, NNMCAB 5 6 7 \*Minutes prepared by Lorelei Novak, NNMCAB Technical Programs and Outreach 8 9 Attachments: 10 1. Final January 28, 2009 CAB Meeting Agenda. 11 <sup>2.</sup> NNMCAB Top Three Issues of Concern. 12 <sup>3.</sup> SSAB Letter to EM-1. 13 <sup>4.</sup> Report from Menice Santistevan, Executive Director. 14 Report from J.D. Campbell, Chair, NNMCAB. 5. 15 Report from Mike Loya, Chair, EMSR Committee. 6. 16 Report from Gerry Maestas, Chair, WM Committee. 7. 17 <sup>8.</sup> Draft Recommendations 2009-01 and 2009-02. 18 <sup>9.</sup> Presentation No. 1: "Los Alamos National Laboratory Natural Resource 19 Damage Assessment and Trustee Council Progress," LA\_UR\_09-0456 from 20 Ms. Nancy Werdel, Project Manager, Environmental Operations, 21 DOE/LASO. 22 <sup>10.</sup> Presentation No. 2: "Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Evaluation for 23 TA-54" from Mr. Danny Katzman, Program Manager, LANL Water 24 Stewardship Program. 25 26 27 **Public Notice:** 28 All NNMCAB meetings are recorded in accordance with the Federal 29 Advisory Committee Act. Audiotapes have been placed on file at the 30 NNMCAB Office, 1660 Old Pecos Trail, Suite B, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87505. 31 \*Reference documents listed in the Appendix section of these minutes 32 can be requested for review at the CAB office in Santa Fe. 33 34 \*For more information regarding audio transcription or any information 35 referenced to or contained here in these minutes, please call the CAB office 36 at (505)-989-1662. 37 38