US Department of Energy Groundwater Database Groundwater Master Report

Installation Name, State: Spook Responsible DOE Office: Office of Environmental Management

Plume Name: Spook Remediation Contractor: Unknown

Report Last Updated: 2009

Contaminants

Halogenated VOCs/SVOCs Present? No

Fuel Present? No

Metals Present? Yes

| Metal Name | Metal Concentration (ppb) | Regulatory Driver | Cleanup Requirement |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Cr (total) | | No | |
| Se | 0 | No | |
| U | | No | |

Isotopes Present? Yes

| Isotope Name | Isotope Activity (pCi/I) | Regulatory Driver | Cleanup Requirement |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| other (provide names) Ra-226 | | No | |
| other (provide names) Ra-228 | | No | |

Explosives Present? Yes

Other Contaminants?No

Tritium Present? **No** Nitrates Present? **Yes** Sulfates Present? **No**

Concentration: (ppb)

Hydrogeology

Conduit Flow? **No** Multiple Units Affected? **No** Depth (feet): **400** Avg Velocity (feet/year): **0.38**

Plume Information (no source)

Source Plume Status **No Response** Area of Plume (acres): 21

Remedial Approach

| Remedy Name | Status | Start Date | End Date |
|----------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| no remediation | Confirmed | | |

Groundwater Use / Exit Strategy

Potable? No

Does an Exit Strategy Exist?

http://www.em.doe.gov/Pages/groundwaterReport.aspx?plumeCode=170

Sole Source Aquifer? No

Basis for Exit Strategy: No Response

Environmental Indicators (EIs)

Groundwater Migration Under Control? **No** Confirmed by Lead Regulator? **No** Current Human Exposure Acceptable? **Yes** Confirmed by Lead Regulator? **Yes**

Regulatory

| Decision Document? Decision Document in Place | Lead Regulatory Agency: Federal |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Date Approved January 1993 | Regulatory Driver: Other |

Regulatory Position on Groundwater Use Same as Site? $\ensuremath{\textit{Yes}}$

Comments

No groundwater monitoring is required at the site. The Nuclear Regulatory Commssion approved the application of supplemental standards because the uppermost aquifer is classified as limited use and contains widespread ambient uranium and selenium contamination not related to milling activities. Aquifer and plume characteristics are addressed in the site Long-Term Surveillance Plan (January 1993).