

EFCOG Integrated Safety Management Work Planning and Control

Matthew Moury

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Safety, Security and Quality Programs
Office of Environmental Management

May 16, 2013

EM-42 WP&C SMEs

- Jim Hutton
- Don Rack
- Craig Scott
- Terry Tracy
- Tony Weadock
- Ed Westbrook

FY2013 EM Program Actions

- Sep 26, 2012, Annual ISM and QA Effectiveness Review
 Declaration. Continued focus on annual ISMS effectiveness
 reviews foundation for safety culture improvements.
 Declaration reports focus on:
 - Activity level work planning and control
- FY 2013 Metric, FY 2013 Performance Agreement Ensure that at least 80 percent of EM site contractors performing D&D, industrial or nuclear work have implemented a work planning and control (WP&C) program based on EM-HQ, URS, or EFCOG WP&C guidance and confirmed through the annual ISM Effectiveness Review WP&C Criterion 6 assessment.

Improvements

- Contractor programmatic documents improving
- Work Control Documents are improving
- Contractor Assurance Systems evaluating work planning and control

Weaknesses - Hazard Controls

- Analysis of tasks associated with the work
- Hazard identification

- Tailoring of hazard controls specific to the activity
- Control identification

Control implementation

Weaknesses with Work Control Documents

- Over reliance on procedure writer/planners (Planning is a team process)
- Incorporation of hazard controls into WCDs
- Action steps/work instructions
- Review and Approval process (Verification & Validation are critical)

Weaknesses with Performing work IAW WCDs

- Less than adequate first line supervision
- Workers not understanding or embracing the rigor associated with step-by-step action steps/work instructions

• Are we really getting any better?

Work Performance Culture

- Integrated Safety Management at the Personal Level!
- •Audacity!
- No such thing as Routine Work!

