

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

TYDINGS & ROSENBERG LLP

Making (and Saving) Money While the Sun Shines: A Public / Private Partnership Financing Model to Promote Large-scale Installation of Solar Power in Urban America

Franklin M. Lee, Esquire
SunShot Summit Financing Competition
Denver, CO
June 14, 2012

MERITAS LAW FIRMS WORLDWIDE 1
WWW.TYDINGSLAW.COM

Identifying the Problem



- How do we make solar power affordable and cost-effective for individual homeowners and commercial tenants on a monthly basis?
- □ How do we create financial incentives for developers to go green in residential and commercial developments?
- ☐ How do we incentivize cities to provide low cost financing for large scale installation of solar power?

Seeing the Light...Structuring the Solution



- ☐ City-established special "solar" zones to attract new developers, homeowners, and commercial tenants to vacant parcels.
- ☐ Green developers in solar zones eligible for 100% long-term, low-cost financing for installation of community solar arrays (roof tops and parking canopies) funded through issuance of municipal revenue bonds.
- ☐ Initial ownership / debt in installed solar PV panel arrays vests in developer (net metering = surplus power = positive cash flow).
- □ Incremental ownership / debt in installed solar power generation transferred to homeowners / commercial tenants on pro rata basis (condominium style).
- ☐ Efficiencies of scale drive down installation costs.

Change You Can Believe In...How to Make It Happen



- Conduct a financing model feasibility study
 - ☐ Establish optimal economies of scale to minimize installation costs per watt of power generated
 - ☐ Establish mathematical "sweet spot" for length of financing term and interest rates
 - ☐ Establish developer focus groups
 - ☐ Establish bond market receptiveness
- □ Issue SunShot RFP to fund urban pilot project(s) for municipal bond financing of large-scale solar installations.

Follow the Money... The Rx for Success

- Current assumptions:
 - □Current installed cost of PV system at \$4.50 / watt
 - □Avg. home consumption is 2000 kWh per mo. @ 9 ¢ per kWh
 - □Soft costs account for 40% or total installed cost
 - □25% reduction in installation costs for single home (installation / hard cost is 60 % of total cost)
 - □ Electricity consumption reduced by 33% by solar (660 kWh)
 - □50% increase in kW hours produced per household via community garden / common area condo ownership concept (1320 kWh)
 - □Net metering credits for surplus power generation
 - □ Projected Cost Savings Per Watt : \$0.45 to \$0.68
 - BUT... Is there a better yardstick for success? Savings in monthly electric bill \$\$\$ vs. common area fee increase; potential for positive cash flow in early stages of development.

TYDINGS & ROSENBERG LLP

Contact Information

Franklin M. Lee, Esq.
Partner
Tydings & Rosenberg LLP
100 E. Pratt Street, 26th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202
(410) 752-9734

E-mail: flee@tydingslaw.com

